

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>		<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/658,154		BURGENER ET AL	
	<b>Examiner</b>		<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Terry L. Englund		2816	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) Exmr Terry L. Englund.

(2) Applicants' Rep Martin J. Jaquez (Reg. No. 38,060).

**Date of Interview:** 17 December 2009

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**  
*Newly submitted Japanese reference may read on claims with capacitive coupling.*

**Claims discussed:**  
*Only in general, no one claim specifically.*

**Prior art documents discussed:**  
*JP 11-252900 (Figs. 3-4)*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**  
*Applicants' representative and examiner discussed similarities of the Japanese reference with respect to the applicants' own Fig. 6 and use of capacitive coupling. The applicants' rep will look over the reference in more detail and get back with the examiner later.*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Status of Application:** TBD

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 2:30 PM

  

/Terry L. Englund/  
 Examiner, Art Unit 2816

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)